INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/20016

A. CLASSI	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		FC1/0304/20016	
IPC(7)	B24B 21/18			
US CL :	451/444			
According to Inte	ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or to both i	national classification of	od IDC	
B. FIELDS	SEARCHED	attional classification at	id IPC	
Minimum docum	nentation searched (classification system followed	161		
U.S. : 451/4	144	by classification symbo	ols)	
Dogumantia				
Documentation s	earched other than minimum documentation to th	e extent that such docur	ments are included i	n the fields searched
				_
Electronic data b	ase consulted during the international search (name	Te of data base andb		
	of the state of th	ne of data base and, wh	ere practicable, seai	rch terms used)
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category *				
	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the rele	vant passages	Relevant to claim No.
, ,	5 5,289,815 A (Krenzer) 01 March 1994 (01.03.)	1994), See column 4, li	nes 3-55.	1,2,6
x lus	US 6,123,605 A (Yano) 26 September 2000 (26.09.2000), See column 6, line 45-col. 7, line 1,2,6			
23				
A US	US 6,338,672 B1 (White) 15 January 2002 (15.01.2002), See fig. 2.			
ŀ	(13.01.2002), See lig. 2.			
j				
			ı	
ŀ			ļ	
Further docu	uments are listed in the continuation of Box C.	Son marons 6		
	categories of cited documents:		amily annex.	
	later document published after the inter			national filing date or priority
of particular rel	ing the general state of the art which is not considered to be	principle or th	cory underlying the inven	tion but cited to understand the
•				
			articular relevance: the -1	almed inverti *
L" document which	on or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of p considered no	vei or cannot be considere	aimed invention cannot be at to involve an inventive step
establish the put	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is sixed as	"X" document of p considered no	articular relevance; the cl vel or cannot be considere ment is taken alone	aimed invention cannot be ad to involve an inventive step
specified)		"X" document of p considered no when the docu	vei or cannot be considere iment is taken alone articular relevance: the cl	at to involve an inventive step
specified)	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to dication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"X" document of p considered no when the docu	ver or cannot be considere ment is taken alone articular relevance; the cl involve an inventive sten	aimed invention cannot be
O" document referr	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to olication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"X" document of p considered now when the document of p considered to i combined with	ver or cannot be considere ment is taken alone articular relevance; the cl involve an inventive sten	aimed invention cannot be when the document is
O" document referri	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to olication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means thed prior to the international filing date but later than the	"X" document of p considered no when the docu "Y" document of p considered to i combined with being obvious	wel or cannot be considered ument is taken alone articular relevance; the cl involve an inventive step of the or more other such of to a person skilled in the	aimed invention cannot be when the document is focuments, such combination art
O" document referring document publis priority date claim	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to ollication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means thed prior to the international filing date but later than the imed	"X" document of p considered no when the docu "Y" document of p considered to i combined with being obvious "&" document men	wel or cannot be considered ument is taken alone articular relevance; the claim volve an inventive step of the or more other such of to a person skilled in the analysis of the same patent fa	aimed invention cannot be when the document is focuments, such combination art
O" document referr P" document publis priority date clai	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to olication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means thed prior to the international filing date but later than the	"X" document of p considered no when the docu "Y" document of p considered to i combined with being obvious "&" document men	wel or cannot be considered ument is taken alone articular relevance; the claim volve an inventive step of the or more other such of to a person skilled in the analysis of the same patent fa	aimed invention cannot be when the document is focuments, such combination art
or document referring document publis priority date claimate of the actual of December 2004	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to olication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means thed prior to the international filing date but later than the imed completion of the international search	"X" document of p considered no when the docu "Y" document of p considered to i combined with being obvious "&" document men	wel or cannot be considered ument is taken alone articular relevance; the claim volve an inventive step of the or more other such of to a person skilled in the analysis of the same patent fa	aimed invention cannot be when the document is focuments, such combination art
or document referring document publis priority date claimeter of the actual of December 2004	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to olication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means thed prior to the international filing date but later than the imed completion of the international search	"X" document of p considered nowhen the document of p considered to it combined with being obvious document men document do	wel or cannot be considered ument is taken alone articular relevance; the claim volve an inventive step of the or more other such of to a person skilled in the analysis of the same patent fa	aimed invention cannot be when the document is focuments, such combination art
or document referring document publis priority date claimate of the actual of December 2004 ame and mailing Mail Stop	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to oblication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means thed prior to the international filing date but later than the med completion of the international search (17.12.2004) address of the ISA/US PCT, Attn: ISA/US	"X" document of p considered no when the document of p considered to i combined with being obvious document men Date of mailing of the Authorized officer	wel or cannot be considered ument is taken alone articular relevance; the claim volve an inventive step of the or more other such of to a person skilled in the analysis of the same patent fa	aimed invention cannot be when the document is focuments, such combination art
o" document referri P" document publis priority date clai Date of the actual 7 December 2004 (ame and mailing Mail Stop Commissi	may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to olication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means thed prior to the international filing date but later than the simed completion of the international search (17.12.2004) address of the ISA/US oner for Patents	"X" document of p considered nowhen the document of p considered to it combined with being obvious document men document do	wel or cannot be considered ument is taken alone articular relevance; the claim volve an inventive step of the or more other such of to a person skilled in the analysis of the same patent fa	aimed invention cannot be when the document is focuments, such combination art
o" document referring document publis priority date clais that of the actual of the actual date and mailing Mail Stop Commissi P.O. Box Alexandri	imay throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to olication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means thed prior to the international filing date but later than the med completion of the international search (17.12.2004) address of the ISA/US PCT, Attn: ISA/US oner for Patents 1450 a, Virginia 22313-1450	"X" document of p considered nowhen the document of p considered to incombined with being obvious document ment and the state of mailing of the Authorized officer Joseph Hail	wel or cannot be considered the carried articular relevance; the claimvolve an inventive step to one or more other such one or such one or the same patent factors of the same patent factors. Shella Ji. Shella Ji.	aimed invention cannot be when the document is documents, such combination art in report
o" document referring document publis priority date clais part of the actual date of the actual dame and mailing Mail Stop Commissi P.O. Box Alexandriacsimile No. (703	imay throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to olication date of another citation or other special reason (as ing to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means thed prior to the international filing date but later than the med completion of the international search (17.12.2004) address of the ISA/US PCT, Attn: ISA/US oner for Patents 1450 a, Virginia 22313-1450	"X" document of p considered no when the document of p considered to i combined with being obvious document men Date of mailing of the Authorized officer	wel or cannot be considered the carried articular relevance; the claimvolve an inventive step to one or more other such one or such one or the same patent factors of the same patent factors. Shella Ji. Shella Ji.	aimed invention cannot be when the document is documents, such combination art in report